

15  
 §16 Closure Properties of Classes of Groups. Groups of  $p$ -length 1.

(A) As in §8 (H) and 8.92, if  $\mathcal{K}$  is any class of groups, it is to be understood that (i) all unit groups belong to  $\mathcal{K}$  and (ii) if  $G \in \mathcal{K}$  and if  $G \cong G_1$ , then  $G_1 \in \mathcal{K}$ .

In considering a given class  $\mathcal{K}$ , it is usually desirable as a matter of routine to examine the closure properties of  $\mathcal{K}$ . The most useful of these closure properties will be denoted by the small capitals

D, E, N, P, Q, R, S;

and, if  $x$  is one of these, the following propositions state that  $\mathcal{K}$  has  $x$  or, as we shall also say, that  $\mathcal{K}$  is  $x$ -closed.

D. The direct product of two  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups is an  $\mathcal{K}$ -group.

E. Extensions of  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups by  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups are  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups i.e.  $K \triangleleft G$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $G/K \in \mathcal{K}$  together imply  $G \in \mathcal{K}$ .

N. Normal subgroups of  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups are  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups.

P. The product of two normal  $\mathcal{K}$ -subgroups of any group is an  $\mathcal{K}$ -group.

Q. Quotient groups of  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups are  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups.

R. A residual product of two  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups is an  $\mathcal{K}$ -group i.e.  $K_i \triangleleft G$ ,  $G/K_i \in \mathcal{K}$  ( $i=1,2$ ) implies that  $G/K_1 \cap K_2 \in \mathcal{K}$ .

S. Subgroups of  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups are  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups.

It is clear that, if  $x$  is any one of these closure properties, then the intersection of any number of  $x$ -closed classes of groups is also  $x$ -closure<sup>ed</sup>.

Hence, for an arbitrary class  $\mathcal{K}$ , there is a uniquely defined smallest  $x$ -closed class containing  $\mathcal{K}$ . This is called the  $x$ -closure of  $\mathcal{K}$  and will be denoted by  $x\mathcal{K}$ . The equation  $\mathcal{K} = x\mathcal{K}$  simply states that  $\mathcal{K}$  is  $x$ -closed. The following facts are clear.

Lemma 16.11 Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be any class of groups and let  $G$  be any group.

Then (i)  $G \in D\mathcal{K} \iff G$  is a direct product of  $\mathcal{K}$ -subgroups.

(ii)  $G \in E\mathcal{K} \iff G$  has a series whose factors are all  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups.

(iii)  $G \in N\mathcal{K} \iff G$  can be <sup>subnormally</sup> ~~normally~~ embedded in an  $\mathcal{K}$ -group.

(iv)  $G \in P\mathcal{K} \iff G$  is generated by <sup>its</sup> subnormal  $\mathcal{K}$ -subgroups.



- (v)  $G \in Q\mathcal{K} \iff G$  is a homomorphic image of an  $\mathcal{K}$ -group
- (vi)  $G \in R\mathcal{K} \iff G$  is a residual product of  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups i.e. the normal subgroups  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $G/K \in \mathcal{K}$  intersect in 1.
- (vii)  $G \in S\mathcal{K} \iff G$  can be embedded in an  $\mathcal{K}$ -group.
- (viii)  $G \in QS\mathcal{K} \iff G$  is isomorphic with a section of an  $\mathcal{K}$ -group
- (ix)  $G \in RS\mathcal{K} \iff G$  can be embedded in the <sup>Cartesian</sup> ~~direct~~ product of <sub>(a finite number of)</sub>  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups
- (x)  $G \in QRS\mathcal{K} \iff G$  is isomorphic with a section of a Cartesian product of  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups.
- (xi)  $G \in EQN\mathcal{K} \iff$  Every composition factor of  $G$  is a composition factor of some  $\mathcal{K}$ -group.

In the last four cases,  $xy\mathcal{K}$  means  $x(y\mathcal{K})$  of course. This class is  $x$ -closed by definition, but need not be  $y$ -closed: in general the mapping  $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow xy\mathcal{K}$  is not a closure operation i.e.  $xy$ , considered as an operator  $\mathcal{K}$  on classes of groups, will not be idempotent. The smallest class which is both  $x$ - and  $y$ -closed and contains  $\mathcal{K}$  is in any case  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (xy)^n \mathcal{K}$ . But there are simplifications in special cases.

For example, (viii) shows that  $QS$  is idempotent and so  $QS\mathcal{K}$  is both  $Q$ - and  $S$ -closed. If we write  $x \leq y$  to mean that  $x\mathcal{K} \leq y\mathcal{K}$  for all classes  $\mathcal{K}$ , then we have  $SQ \leq QS$ . Hence  $SQS \leq QS^2 = QS$ . Similarly  $SR \leq RS$ , so that  $RS$  is also a closure operator. More important, so is

$$V = QRS.$$

If  $G$  is any group, we define

$$V(G)$$

to consist of all groups  $H$  with the following property: if

$$f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = \xi_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \xi_{i_2}^{\epsilon_2} \dots \xi_{i_n}^{\epsilon_n} \quad (\epsilon_i = \pm 1) \quad (1)$$

is any word (or formal group-element) in the indeterminates  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ , such that  $f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = 1$  for all choices of the  $\xi$ 's in  $G$ , then  $f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = 1$  for all choices of the  $\xi$ 's in  $H$ . In other words,  $H \in V(G)$  if and only if every law (or identical relation) holding in  $G$



also holds in  $H$ .

Lemma 16.12 (i) Let  $G$  be any group, and let  $\mathcal{V}(G)$  be the class consisting of all groups of order 1 together with all groups isomorphic with  $G$ . Then  $v(\mathcal{V}(G)) = \mathcal{V}(G)$ .

(ii) A class  $\mathcal{K}$  of groups is  $v$ -closed if and only if  $\mathcal{V}(G_1 \times G_2) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$  for all  $G_1, G_2$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Proof: (i) Obviously  $\mathcal{V}(G)$  is  $q$ -,  $r$ - and  $s$ -closed, hence  $v$ -closed. Hence  $v(\mathcal{V}(G)) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(G)$ . Suppose conversely that  $H = \{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\} \in \mathcal{V}(G)$ . Consider the set  $F$  of all functions  $f$  of the form (1), where the arguments  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  range over  $G$ . Two such functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are the same if and only if  $f_1(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = f_2(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  for all choices of the  $\xi$ 's in  $G$ . Hence  $|F| \leq g^n$ , where  $g = |G|$ . Moreover,  $F$  is a group, if we define the multiplication and inversion of functions in the obvious way; in fact,  $F$  is a subgroup of a certain Cartesian power of  $G$ , the factors being in one-to-one correspondence with the ordered  $n$ -tuples of elements of  $G$ . Hence  $F \in SD(G) \subseteq RS(G)$ . Since  $H = \{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\}$ , every element of  $H$  has the form  $f(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$  for some word  $f$ . Since  $H \in \mathcal{V}(G)$ , two such words  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  give the same value of  $H$  whenever  $f_1(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = f_2(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  for all choices of the  $\xi$ 's in  $G$ . Hence we have a homomorphism of  $H$  onto  $F$  and so  $H \in QRS(G) = v(G)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{V}(G) \subseteq v(\mathcal{V}(G))$  and (i) is proved.

(ii) If  $\mathcal{K} = v\mathcal{K}$ , then  $\mathcal{V}(G_1 \times G_2) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$  for all  $G_1, G_2$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  by (i). Conversely, suppose this condition is fulfilled. By 16.11(x), every  $G$  in  $v\mathcal{K}$  is isomorphic with a section of some group  $H = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$  with  $G_i \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence  $G \in v(H) = \mathcal{V}(H)$  and by hypothesis  $\mathcal{V}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ . Hence  $G \in \mathcal{K}$  and so  $v\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}$ .

(B) Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  be any set of words. The class of all (finite) groups  $G$  in which the relations  $f_1 = f_2 = \dots = 1$  hold identically will be called a variety of groups. Thus  $\mathcal{V}(G)$  is the smallest variety containing  $G$ . Every variety is  $v$ -closed, but the converse is not true. We may define



$V(\mathcal{K})$  for any class  $\mathcal{K}$  to be the smallest variety containing  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then  $G \in V(\mathcal{K})$  if and only if every law which holds in all  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups also holds in  $G$ .

Theorem 16.2  $V(\mathcal{O}_p)$  contains all (finite) groups.

This seems to be due to Iwasawa. We prove it by showing that if  $f$  is given by (1), where  $n > 0$  and  $\epsilon_{\alpha+1} = \epsilon_{\alpha}$  whenever  $i_{\alpha+1} = i_{\alpha}$ , i.e. whenever  $f$  is a non-trivial reduced word, then there exists a finite  $p$ -group in which the law  $f = 1$  does not hold. We may rewrite  $f$  in the form

$$f = \xi_{j_1}^{m_1} \xi_{j_2}^{m_2} \dots \xi_{j_r}^{m_r} \quad (r > 0)$$

where  $j_{\alpha+1} \neq j_{\alpha}$  and  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$  are integers  $\neq 0$ . Let

$$m_{\alpha} = p^{k_{\alpha}} q_{\alpha}, \quad k_{\alpha} \geq 0, \quad (p, q_{\alpha}) = 1.$$

Let  $k = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r p^{k_{\alpha}}$  and let  $R$  be an additive elementary Abelian  $p$ -group with a basis consisting of all the formal products

$$v = u_{i_1} u_{i_2} \dots u_{i_s} \quad (0 \leq s \leq k),$$

including as the case  $s=0$  the empty product 1, where the suffixes  $i_{\alpha}$  range from 1 to  $j = \max_{\alpha=1, \dots, r} j_{\alpha}$ . If  $v' = u_{\ell_1} u_{\ell_2} \dots u_{\ell_t}$  we define  $vv' = 0$  if

$s+t > k$  and otherwise  $vv' = u_{i_1} u_{i_2} \dots u_{i_s} u_{\ell_1} u_{\ell_2} \dots u_{\ell_t}$ . Extending

this multiplication ~~from~~ <sup>of</sup> the basis elements of  $R$  by the distributive law to arbitrary elements,  $R$  becomes a ring. The elements of  $R$  of the

form  $1 + \sum_{v \neq 1} \lambda_v v$ , with integers  $\lambda_v$ , form a multiplicative group  $G$  since we have, for any  $w = \sum_{v \neq 1} \lambda_v v$ ,  $w^{k+1} = 0$  and so

$$(1+w)(1-w+w^2-\dots+(-1)^k w^k) = 1.$$

$G$  is a group of order  $p^g$  where  $g = j + j^2 + \dots + j^k$ , and contains the

elements  $\eta_{\alpha} = 1 + u_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, j$ ). Let  $\gamma = \eta_{j_1}^{m_1} \dots \eta_{j_r}^{m_r}$ .

The coefficient of  $u_{j_1}^{p^{k_1}} u_{j_2}^{p^{k_2}} \dots u_{j_r}^{p^{k_r}}$  in  $\gamma$  is then precisely

$$\binom{m_1}{p^{k_1}} \binom{m_2}{p^{k_2}} \dots \binom{m_r}{p^{k_r}}$$

which is not divisible by  $p$ . Hence  $\gamma \neq 1$  and the law  $f = 1$  does not hold in

Thm 16.2 is proved.

Note that  $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow V(\mathcal{K})$  is a closure operation. But it lacks the finitary character of the primary operations  $D, E, \dots$  or  $V$ .



(C) Lemma 16.31 (i) If  $\mathcal{X} = P\mathcal{X}$ , then every group  $G$  has a uniquely determined maximal normal  $\mathcal{X}$ -subgroup  $\mathcal{X}G$ . If in addition  $\mathcal{X} = N\mathcal{X}$ , then  $\mathcal{X}H = H \cap \mathcal{X}G$  for every subnormal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .

(ii) If  $\mathcal{X} = R\mathcal{X}$ , then every group  $G$  has a uniquely determined normal subgroup  $G^{\mathcal{X}}$  such that  $G/G^{\mathcal{X}} \in \mathcal{X}$  and that  $G/K \in \mathcal{X}$  implies  $G^{\mathcal{X}} \leq K$ . If in addition  $\mathcal{X} = Q\mathcal{X}$ , then  $(G/K)^{\mathcal{X}} = KG^{\mathcal{X}}/K$  for every  $K \triangleleft G$ .

(iii) If  $\mathcal{X} = Q\mathcal{X} = E\mathcal{X}$ , then  $\mathcal{X} = P\mathcal{X}$  and every  $\mathcal{X}$ -subgroup of  $G$  is contained in  $\mathcal{X}G$ .

(iv) If  $\mathcal{X} = P\mathcal{X} = E\mathcal{X}$ , then  $\mathcal{X}(G \text{ mod } \mathcal{X}G) = \mathcal{X}G$ .

(v) If  $\mathcal{X} = R\mathcal{X} = E\mathcal{X}$ , then  $(G^{\mathcal{X}})^{\mathcal{X}} = G^{\mathcal{X}}$ .

This is clear.

More interesting is

Theorem 16.32 Suppose that  $\mathcal{X} = P\mathcal{X} = N\mathcal{X}$  and that  $\mathcal{X}$  contains some  $p$ -group  $G \neq 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_p \leq \mathcal{X}$  i.e. every  $p$ -group belongs to  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Proof:  $G$  contains a subgroup  $C$  of order  $p$  and  $C$  sbn  $G$ . Hence  $C \in \mathcal{X}$  since  $\mathcal{X} = N\mathcal{X}$ . But  $\mathcal{X} = P\mathcal{X}$  and so every elementary  $p$ -group belongs to  $\mathcal{X}$ . Now let  $H$  be any  $p$ -group and let  $K = C \vee H$ . Then the base group  $\bar{C}$  of  $K$  is an elementary  $p$ -group with a basis  $u_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in H$ ) such that  $\beta^T u_\alpha \beta = u_\alpha \beta$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in H$ . Thus  $H$  is represented faithfully by automorphisms of  $\bar{C}$ . Let  $A = \text{Aut } \bar{C}$  and let  $S$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $A$ . Then  $H \cong H_1$  where  $H_1$  is some subgroup of  $S$ . Since  $H_1$  sbn  $S$  and  $\mathcal{X} = N\mathcal{X}$ , it will be sufficient to show that  $S \in \mathcal{X}$ ; for then it will follow that  $H \in \mathcal{X}$ .

Let  $|H| = n$  and let  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  be any basis of  $\bar{C}$ . Let  $C_i = \{u_{i+1}, \dots, u_n\}$  so that  $\bar{C} = C_0 > C_1 > \dots > C_n = 0$ , where  $\bar{C}$  is written additively. If  $\alpha$  is any automorphism of  $\bar{C}$  which centralizes each  $C_{i-1}/C_i$ , then  $u_i \alpha = u_i + \text{terms in } u_{i+1}, \dots, u_n = u_i + l_i(u_{i+1}, \dots, u_n)$ . For any choice of the forms  $l_1, \dots, l_n$ , the elements  $u_1 + l_1, \dots, u_n + l_n = u_n$  form a basis of  $\bar{C}$ ; and so  $u_i \rightarrow u_i + l_i$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ ) defines an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\bar{C}$  of the kind described. Hence the group  $S$  of all such automorphisms



is of order  $p^{\binom{n}{2}} = |A|_p$ , and so  $S$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $A$ .

Let  $S_i$  be the group of all  $\alpha \in S$  which centralize both  $C_i$  and  $\bar{C}/C_i$ . Clearly  $S_i \triangleleft S$  and  $S_i$  is an elementary Abelian subgroup of order  $p^{i(n-i)}$ . Thus  $S_i \in \mathcal{K}$ . Given  $\alpha \in S$ , define  $\beta \in S_i$  by the condition  $u, \beta = u, \alpha$ . Then  $\alpha\beta^{-1}$  leaves  $u_i$  invariant and induces on  $C_i$  an automorphism centralizing each  $C_{i-1}/C_i$  ( $i=2, 3, \dots, n$ ). By induction on  $n$ , we may assume that  $\alpha\beta^{-1} \in S_n S_{n-1} \dots S_2$ . Then  $\alpha \in S_n S_{n-1} \dots S_1$ . Hence  $S = S_n S_{n-1} \dots S_1$ . Since each  $S_i \triangleleft S$  and belongs to  $\mathcal{K} = p\mathcal{K}$ , it follows that  $S \in p\mathcal{K}$  as stated.

(D) By 16.11 (xi), any class  $\mathcal{Y}$  of the form  $E \cap N \mathcal{K}$  consists of all groups whose composition factors belong to a specified ~~class~~<sup>set</sup> of simple groups.

Every such class  $\mathcal{Y}$  is closed with respect to all seven primary operations  $D, E, \dots$  with the possible exception of  $s$ . The most important classes of this kind are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\omega} &= \text{all } \omega\text{-groups,} \\ \mathcal{S} &= \text{all semisimple groups,} \\ \mathcal{L} &= \text{all soluble groups.} \end{aligned}$$

In addition, the class of all  $\omega$ -separable groups  $\mathcal{E}$  and the class of all  $\omega$ -soluble groups are of this kind. And all these classes, with the exception of  $\mathcal{S}$ , are  $s$ -closed.

The class

$$\mathcal{N} = \text{all nilpotent groups}$$

is closed with respect to all primary operators with the exception of  $E$ ; which

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{all Abelian groups}$$

is a typical variety and is closed with respect to all the primary operators except  $P$  and  $E$ .

Lemma 16.4 Let  $\omega$  be any set of primes, let  $\mathcal{K}$  be any class of groups and let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be the class of all  $\omega$ -soluble groups whose  $S_{\omega}$ -subgroups belong to  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then any of the seven primary closure properties which belong to  $\mathcal{K}$  are inherited by  $\mathcal{Y}$ .



For example, suppose that  $G = HK$  is the product of two normal  $\mathcal{Y}$ -subgroup  $H$  and  $K$ . Then  $G$  is  $\omega$ -soluble and if  $S$  is any  $S_\omega$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then  $S_1 = S \cap H$  and  $S_2 = S \cap K$  are  $S_\omega$ -subgroups of  $H$  and  $K$  respectively. Hence  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  belong to  $\mathcal{X}$ . But  $S = S_1 S_2$  and  $S_i \triangleleft S$ , ( $i=1,2$ ). Hence ~~if  $\mathcal{X} = P\mathcal{X}$ , we have  $S \in \mathcal{X}$  and so  $G \in \mathcal{Y}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{Y} = P\mathcal{Y}$~~   
 Exactly similar is the case  $\mathcal{X} = R\mathcal{X}$ .

(E) If  $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n$  are any classes of groups, we define  $\mathcal{X}_1 \mathcal{X}_2 \dots \mathcal{X}_n$  to be the class of all groups  $G$  with a series

$$G = G_0 \triangleright G_1 \triangleright G_2 \triangleright \dots \triangleright G_n = 1$$

such that  $G_{i-1}/G_i \in \mathcal{X}_i$  for each  $i$ . This multiplication of classes is not in general associative. We have

$$(\mathcal{X}_1 \mathcal{X}_2) \mathcal{X}_3 \leq \mathcal{X}_1 (\mathcal{X}_2 \mathcal{X}_3) = \mathcal{X}_1 \mathcal{X}_2 \mathcal{X}_3.$$

Lemma 16.51 If  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$  are any three classes of groups, then either of the following two conditions is sufficient to ensure that  $(\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y})\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{Z})$ :

- (i)  $\mathcal{Y} = Q\mathcal{Y}$  and  $\mathcal{Z} = P\mathcal{Z}$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{Y} = R\mathcal{Y}$  and  $\mathcal{Z} = N\mathcal{Z}$ .

This is clear.

With regard to the inheritance of closure properties of  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  by  $\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}$ , we have

Lemma 16.52 (i) If  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  are both closed with respect to any of  $D, S, Q, N$ , then so is  $\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}$ .

(ii) If  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  are  $P$ -closed and  $\mathcal{X} = Q\mathcal{X}$ , then  $\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}$  is  $P$ -closed and  $(\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y})G = \mathcal{X}(G \text{ mod } \mathcal{Y}G)$ .

(iii) If  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  are  $R$ -closed and  $\mathcal{Y} = N\mathcal{Y}$ , then  $\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}$  is  $R$ -closed and  $G^{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}} = (G^{\mathcal{X}})^{\mathcal{Y}}$ .

For example, every  $p$ -soluble group  $G$  is contained in one of the classes  $\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_p, \dots, \mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_p^l = \mathcal{O}_p (\mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_p)^l$  for some integer  $l$ . The smallest such  $l$  is called the  $p$ -length of  $G$  and denoted by  $l_p(G)$ . By 16.52 (ii), the  $p$ -soluble groups of  $p$ -length at most  $l$  form a  $P$ -closed class,  $\mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_p^l$  when



$\mathcal{P}_p = \mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_{p'}$ ; If  $\mathcal{P}_{p'} = \mathcal{O}_{p'} \mathcal{O}_p$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_{p'}^l$  is also a ~~partly~~  $p$ -closed class, as is  $\mathcal{P}_p^l$ . Indeed the classes  $\mathcal{P}_p^l$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{p'}^l$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{P}_p^l$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{p'} \mathcal{P}_{p'}^l$  are closed with respect to all the primary operators except  $E$ .

If  $G$  is  $p$ -soluble of  $p$ -length  $l$ , then the series of radicals

$$1 \leq \mathcal{O}_p G < \mathcal{P}_p G < (\mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{P}_p) G < \mathcal{P}_p^2 G < \dots < \mathcal{P}_p^l G \leq (\mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{P}_p^l) G \quad (1)$$

is called the upper  $p$ -series of  $G$ ; and the series

$$G \geq G^{\mathcal{O}_{p'}} \geq G^{\mathcal{P}_{p'}} > G^{\mathcal{O}_p \mathcal{O}_{p'}} > G^{\mathcal{P}_{p'}^2} > \dots > G^{\mathcal{P}_{p'}^l} \geq G^{\mathcal{P}_{p'}^l \mathcal{O}_{p'}} = 1 \quad (2)$$

is called the lower  $p$ -series of  $G$ . Upper and lower  $p$ -series of a  $p$ -soluble group are related rather like upper and lower central series of a nilpotent group. The  $k$ -th term from the right in (1) contains the  $k$ -th but not the  $(k+1)$ -th term from the right in (2).

Note that  $\mathcal{P}_p$  is the class of all groups with a normal  $S_{p'}$ -subgroup.

(F) Lemma 16.61 Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -soluble group.

(i) If  $H = \mathcal{P}_p G$  and  $K = \varphi(H) \cdot \mathcal{O}_p G$ , then  $C_G(H/K) \leq H$ .

(ii) The intersection of the centralizers of all chief  $p$ -factors of  $G$  is  $H$ .

Proof: (i) Let  $M = \mathcal{O}_p G$ . Then  $H/M$  is a  $p$ -group and  $K/M$  is its Frattini subgroup. Clearly, we may assume that  $M = 1$ . Let  $C = C_G(H/K)$  and suppose if possible that  $C \not\leq H$ . Then there is a chief factor  $L/H$  of  $G$  such that  $L \leq C$ . (Note that  $H \leq C$  since  $H/K$  is Abelian). Since  $H = \mathcal{P}_p G$ ,  $L/H$  is a  $p'$ -group and  $L$  has an  $S_{p'}$ -subgroup  $S$  such that  $L = SH$ . Since  $L \leq C$ , we have  $[H, S] \leq K$  and so  $[H, S] = 1$  by 13.2 (iii), since by hypothesis  $M = 1$  and so  $H$  is a  $p$ -group. Hence  $L$  is the direct product of  $S$  and  $H$ ,  $S \text{ char } L$ ,  $S \triangleleft G$ ,  $S \leq M = \mathcal{O}_p G$ , contrary to  $M = 1$ . We conclude that  $H = C$ .

(ii) If  $N$  is the intersection of the centralizers of the chief  $p$ -factors of  $G$ , then every chief  $p$ -factor of  $N$  is a central factor of  $N$ . Let  $N_1 = \mathcal{P}_p N$ ,  $M_1 = \mathcal{O}_p N$ ,  $K_1 = \varphi(N_1) \cdot M_1$ . By (i), if  $N_1 < N$ , there is a  $p'$ -element  $\xi \in N$  which does not centralize  $N_1/K_1$ . But  $N_1/K_1$  is an elementary  $p$ -group. Hence there must be some chief  $p$ -factor  $L/M$  of  $N$  with  $K_1 \leq M \leq L \leq N_1$  which is not centralized by  $\xi$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $N = \mathcal{P}_p N$ . Since  $N \triangleleft G$



it follows that  $N \leq H = O_p G$ . But clearly  $H$  centralizes every chief  $p$ -factor of  $G$ .  
Hence  $N = H$ .

Lemma 16.62 Every  $A$ -group and every metanilpotent group is of  $p$ -length  $\leq 1$  for all primes  $p$ .

Here, the class of metanilpotent groups is  $\mathcal{N}^2 = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{N}$ .

Proof: Let  $G$  be an  $A$ -group. We may assume that  $O_p G = 1$  since  $G/O_p G$  is an  $A$ -group of the same  $p$ -length as  $G$ . Let  $H = O_p G$ . Then every Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $S$  of  $G$  contains  $H$ . Since  $S$  is Abelian,  $S \leq C_G(H) \leq C_G(H/p(H))$ . By 16.61 (i), this implies that  $S \leq H$ , since  $H = O_p G$  owing to  $O_p G = 1$ . Hence  $G/H$  is a  $p'$ -group and so  $l_p(G) \leq 1$ .

Let  $G$  be metanilpotent. Again we may assume that  $O_p G = 1$ . Then  $F = \mathcal{N}G$  is a  $p$ -group. Since  $G \in \mathcal{N}^2$ ,  $G/F$  is nilpotent and if  $S/F$  is its Sylow  $p$ -subgroup, then  $S \triangleleft G$  and  $G/S$  is a  $p'$ -group. Thus  $S = O_p G$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $l_p(G) \leq 1$ .

The class  $\mathcal{L}_1$  of soluble groups which are of  $p$ -length  $\leq 1$  for all  $p$  thus contains many of the more interesting special kinds of soluble group eg. supersoluble groups,  $Z$ -groups, complemented groups, etc.

Theorem 16.7 Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -soluble group. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $l_p(G) \leq 1$ .
- (ii) Every  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of some subnormal subgroup of  $G$  i.e.  $|P^{s_n} G : P|$  is prime to  $p$ .
- (iii) Every pronormal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of some normal subgroup of  $G$ .
- (iv) The automizer in  $G$  of every chief  $p$ -factor of  $G$  is a  $p'$ -group.

Proof: (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). For let  $S$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  containing  $P$ . Since  $l_p(G) \leq 1$ , there exist normal subgroups  $L$  and  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $L = SK$  and  $S \cap K = 1$ . Hence  $L/K \cong S$ ; and, since  $P$  sub  $S$ , we have  $KP$  sub  $KS = L$  and so  $KP$  sub  $G$ . But  $K$  is a  $p'$ -group and hence  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $KP$ .



(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Suppose  $P$  is a pronormal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . By hypothesis,  $|P^{G^{\dots G}}:P|$  is prime to  $p$ . But by 6.65, the subnormal closure  $P^{G^{\dots G}}$  of  $P$  in  $G$  coincides with its normal closure  $K = \langle P^G \rangle$ . Hence  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of the normal subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Here we need the

Lemma 16.71. Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -soluble group and suppose that  $l_p(G/K) < l = l_p(G)$  for all normal subgroups  $K \neq 1$  of  $G$ . Then  $G$  is monolithic; its unique minimal normal subgroup  $M$  is an elementary Abelian  $p$ -group and ~~there exists~~  $G = MS$  where  $S$  is a subgroup complementary to  $M$  in  $G$ ,  $M \cap S = 1$ .

Proof. Suppose  $G$  had two different minimal normal subgroups  $M$  and  $M_1$ . By hypothesis,  $G/M$  and  $G/M_1$  are of  $p$ -length at most  $l-1$ . But  $M \cap M_1 = 1$  and the class  $\sigma_p, \rho_p^{l-1}$  is  $R$ -closed. Hence  $l_p(G) \leq l-1$ , a contradiction. Hence  $G$  is monolithic, ~~and~~

Since  $G$  is  $p$ -soluble,  $M$  is either an elementary Abelian  $p$ -group or else a  $p'$ -group. The second case is excluded since it would make  $l_p(G/M) = l_p(G)$ . Let  $L = \sigma_p(G \text{ mod } M)$ . Then  $L > M$  since otherwise we should again have  $l_p(G/M) = l_p(G)$ . Hence  $L = MT$ , where  $T$  is an  $S_p$ -subgroup of  $L$ . Let  $S = N_G(T)$ . Then  $MS = G$  by the invariance of  $T$  in  $L$ . Hence  $M \cap S \triangleleft MS = G$ , ~~by the~~ since  $M$  is Abelian. If  $M \leq S$ , then  $L$  would be the direct product of  $M$  and  $T$  and we should have  $T \text{ char } L$ ,  $T \triangleleft G$ ,  $T \neq 1$ , contrary to the ~~non~~ monolithic character of  $G$ . Hence  $M \not\leq S$  and so  $M \cap S = 1$ , by the minimality of  $M$  as a normal subgroup of  $G$ . This proves 16.71.

Now let  $G$  satisfy (iii) of 16.7. Let  $K \triangleleft G$  and choose  $K$  to be maximal subject to  $l_p(G/K) = l_p(G)$ . Then  $\Gamma = G/K$  satisfies the hypothesis of 16.71. By 6.68, every pronormal  $p$ -subgroup of ~~of~~  $\Gamma$  has the form  $KP/K$  where  $P$  is some pronormal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . By hypothesis, there is a normal subgroup  $L$  of  $G$  such that  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $L$ . Then  $KP/K$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $LK/K$  and  $LK/K \triangleleft \Gamma$ . Thus  $\Gamma$  also satisfies (iii). Hence we may assume without loss of generality



that  $G = \Gamma = MS$ ,  $M \cap S = 1$ , where  $M$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $|M| = p^m$ . Suppose if possible that  $p$  divides  $|S|$  and let  $P_*$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $S$ . Since  $M$  is a  $p$ -group, we have  $P_1 = [M, P_*] < M$ . Now  $C = C_S(M) < MS = G$  and, since  $G$  is monolithic, it follows that  $C = 1$ . But  $P_* \neq 1$ , by hypothesis. Hence  $P_1 \neq 1$ . By 6.69,  $H = PP_1$  is a pronormal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $P_1 \neq 1$ , we have  $M \leq \langle H^G \rangle$ . Since  $H \cap M = P_1 < M$ , it follows that  $H$  is not a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\langle H^G \rangle$ . This contradicts (iii). We conclude that  $S$  is a  $p'$ -group and so  $l_p(G) = 1$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). For if  $l_p(G) = 1$ , there are normal subgroups  $L$  and  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $L = KS$ ,  $K \cap S = 1$  where  $S$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then every chief  $p$ -factor of  $G$  is incident with one of the form  $E/D$  where  $K \leq D < E \leq L$ . By 9.3 (i),  $C_G(E/D)$  contains  $L$ . But  $G/L$  is a  $p'$ -group and so  $A_G(E/D)$  is a  $p'$ -group.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Here, as in the proof of (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i), we replace  $G$  by a quotient group of smallest order having the same  $p$ -length as  $G$ . Then denoting this quotient group still by  $G$ , we have  $G = MS$ ,  $M \cap S = 1$ , where  $M$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  and so  $C_S(M) = 1$ . It follows that  $S \cong A_G(M)$ . Hence  $S$  is a  $p'$ -group and  $l_p(G) = 1$ .

Remark: The equivalence of 16.7 (i) and (ii) is proved in a rather more general form (not restricted by the hypothesis that  $G$  is  $p$ -soluble) by Wielandt.

- (G) Lemma 16.8 (i) The class of all groups  $G$  with  $\Omega_{\infty} G = 1$  is  $D$ -,  $E$ -,  $R$ - and  $N$ -closed, but not  $P$ -,  $Q$ - or  $S$ -closed.
- (ii) Dually, the class of all groups  $G$  with  $G = G^{\Omega_{\infty}}$  (i.e. the groups which are generated by their  $\omega'$ -elements) is  $D$ -,  $E$ -,  $P$ - and  $Q$ -closed, but not  $N$ -,  $R$ - or  $S$ -closed.